DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 394 477 HE 029 173

TITLE	Report on Academic Advising.
INSTITUTION	Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, Austin.
PUB DATE	Jul 95
NOTE	84p.
AVAILABLE FROM	Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, TASP Office, Universities Division, P.O. Box 12788, Austin, TX 78711.
PUB TYPE	Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Statistical Data (110)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Academic Advising; Attitude Change; College Freshmen; Community Colleges; *Counseling Services; *Educational Attainment; Educational Counseling; *Faculty Advisers; Higher Education; *Public Colleges; State Colleges; State Surveys; State Universities; *Student Reaction
IDENTIFIERS	*Texas Academic Skills Program

ABSTRACT

The Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP) was created to enhance student success in college and has three elements: a diagnostic test, academic advising, and if necessary, remediation. Academic advising is the central element. The legislation creating the TASP requires an annual report on academic advising. The fifth academic advising survey of Texas public postsecondary institutions was administered in March 1995. Ninety-nine percent of the responding institutions agreed that academic advising aids personal development for students and that academic advising services and facilities are readily accessible. Survey results indicated that not all students receive academic advising. Much self-advising occurs for sophomores, students with a filed degree plan, and others. More institutions are moving from a decentralized, departmental model to a centralized, student advising center model staffed with professional advisors who report to a campus official in charge of the advising programs. Compliance with the requirements of the legislation has improved since 1989 and is expected to continue to improve. Finally, the institutions seem to be more favorably disposed toward their academic advising system. Much of the report consists of aggregate data for each of the survey questions. (CK)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

HE

ED 394 477

Report on

Academic Advising

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Texas Higher Educ.
Coordinating Board

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

HE029 173

Texas Academic Skills Program

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

July 1995

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Leonard Rauch, Chairman	Houston
Charles C. Sprague, M.D., Vice Chairman	Dallas
W. Mike Baggett	Dallas
Herbert L. Butrum	Houston
Joaquin G. Cigarroa, Jr., M.D.	Laredo
Rene Haas	Corpus Christi
Juan J. Hinojosa	McAllen
Joseph R. Krier	San Antonio
Wendy Marsh	Amarillo
Janie S. McGarr	Dallas
Andrew Melontree	Tyler
Martha Miller	Texarkana
Tom C. Nichols	Lubbock
Patricia S. Prather	Houston
Ray E. Santos, M.D.	Lubbock
Carlos Villa	El Paso
Mary Beth Williamson	San Antonio

Coordinating Board Mission

The mission of the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board is to provide the Legislature advice and comprehensive planning capability for higher education, to coordinate the effective delivery of higher education, to administer efficiently assigned statewide programs, and to advance higher education for the people of Texas.

Coordinating Board Philosophy

The Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board will promote access to quality higher education across the state with the conviction that access without quality is mediocrity and that quality without access is elitism. The Board will be open, ethical, responsive, and committed to public service. The Board will approach its work with a sense of purpose and responsibility to the people of Texas and is committed to the best use of public monies.

Created by the Texas Legislature in 1965, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board works with institutions of higher education, other state agencies, the Legislature and the Governor to ensure that Texans seeking higher education have access to high quality programs. The Board's overall responsibilities include assessing the state of higher education in Texas, making recommendations to the Governor, Legislature and institutions for its enhancement, and establishing policies for the efficient and effective use of the state's higher education resources.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary ii

Introduction 1

Background 1

A Summary of Academic Advising in Texas Public Postsecondary Institutions 5

Changes in Academic Advising in Texas 9

Conclusions 11

Results of 1995 Survey of Academic Advising

Aggregate Data 12

Institutional Data 19

List of Tables

Table 1: One-to-One Advising 7

Table 2: Type of Academic Advisors 9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The fifth academic advising survey of Texas public postsecondary institutions was administered in March 1995.
- Ninety-nine percent of the respondents agree that academic advising aids personal development for students and that academic advising services and facilities are readily accessible.
- More than 90 percent of the respondents agree that their academic advising program is responsive and that it helps students identify and prioritize educational needs and overcome skill deficiencies. Advisors have easy access to student data.
- More than 80 percent of the respondents agree that there is a well-developed, written set of advising goals at their institutions. Instructional faculty have easy access to student data.
- More than 60 percent of the respondents agree that there is an institution-wide written philosophical statement concerning advising at their institutions.
- Fewer than 50 percent of the respondents agree that funding is adequate to carry out the academic advising program's mission or that a program of regular and systematic research and evaluation exists within the academic advising program at their institutions.
- Most advising is performed one-to-one, according to the respondents. One-to-one advising increased over last year.
- There has been an increase in the use of professional counselors and the student advising center at four-year and two-year institutions.
- Five to 25 percent of first-time freshmen students receive no academic advising at four universities and six community and technical colleges.
- Twenty-two of 26 universities reporting and 60 of 66 community and technical colleges reporting meet with all first-time freshmen at least once during the semester.
- Thirty-one percent of the four-year institutions and 15 percent of the community and technical colleges reporting provide no initial, formal training in academic advising.
- The academic advising program is not evaluated at 12 percent of the universities and 14 percent of the community and technical colleges.

Report on Academic Advising

Introduction

The Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP) was created by the 70th Texas Legislature in 1987 (Texas Education Code § 51.306). Today, the TASP test seems to receive the most attention; however, the program is much more than a test. The program has three elements to enhance student success in college, including diagnostics, advising, and if necessary, remediation. The diagnostic element involves the testing instrument itself. Failing one or more sections of the TASP test requires a student to enter remediation. Bridging these two elements is the advising element. Academic advising is the central element because it is a necessary component of a student's academic career, regardless of whether or not remediation is required. Academic advising has been defined as "a systematic process based on a close student-advisor relationship intended to aid students in achieving educational, career, and personal goals through the utilization of the full range of institutional and community resources" (Ender, Winston, and Miller: *Developmental Academic Advising*, 1984, pp. 18, 19). This *Report on Academic Advising* presents a snapshot of the state of academic advising in Texas.

Background

The legislation creating the Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP) requires an annual report on academic advising. The first report was published in May 1989 under

the title *Connecting Students With Success: Academic Advising and the Texas Academic Skills Program*. The report was based on a survey of Texas institutions of higher education. That survey's summary results were reprinted in the 1993 *Report on Academic Advising*. The Texas Academic Skills Council (TASC) is the main advisory body for the TASP, and based on the 1989 survey results, the TASC's Committee on Advisement and Placement established the following 10 objectives (page 11):

- As a minimum, academic advising should be available to and required of all students before each registration.
- Academic advising should facilitate identification and attainment of students' educational and career goals.
- Academic advisors should make individual students aware of academic and other support services available on campus to assist students with problems they may face or to acquaint them with opportunities outside of class.
- Academic advisors should help minimize student frustration and improve student performance by making students aware of institutional policies and procedures as they affect each individual.
- Academic advisors should assist students in making choices about majors.
- Academic advisors should assist students in making informed choices within major requirements.
- Academic advising should be readily available to all students at times suited to the students' needs.

- Academic advisors should be thoroughly informed about degree options and requirements, institutional policies and procedures, and student support services.
- Academic advisors should have easy access to student records.
- Academic advising systems should allow students to see advisors on a one-to-one basis.

Responsibility for the continuing appraisal of academic advising in Texas was transferred to the TASP Evaluation Committee, which is responsible for reporting on the effectiveness of the TASP. This committee completed a second advising survey in February 1991. The survey asked the institutions to respond to eight descriptive questions regarding:

- Unique institutional characteristics,
- Primary responsibilities for advising regular students and remedial students,
- When and how advising takes place,
- Whether the advising system is centralized, decentralized, or a combination of both,
- Formal training and evaluation of advisors,
- The advising system's major strength,
- The advising system's major weakness, and
- Action taken to address the system's major weakness.

Because of the open-ended nature of the survey, results were not published in their entirety but were kept on file for review. However, a summary of the eight points by institution was published in the 1993 *Report on Academic Advising*.

The present report provides information from the fifth annual survey of institutions, administered in March 1995, with results reported by institution. Fifteen survey items were also included with the permission of the Council for the Advancement of Standards (CAS) for Student Services/Development Programs' *Academic Advising Self-Assessment Guide*. This Council was formed after a joint meeting of the American College Personnel Association and the National Association of Student Personnel Administrators "for the purpose of improving and advancing student development services and educational opportunities in postsecondary education institutions" (CAS Bylaws, 1979). These data are presented in the aggregate.

Slightly more than 90 percent of all institutions responded to the survey. Institutions not reporting data were:

Four-year institutions

The University of Texas of the Permian Basin
Texas A&M University
Texas A&M International University

Two-year institutions

Cedar Valley College
Howard College
Lamar Institute of Technology
Lamar University-Orange
McLennan Community College
Texas Southmost College

The survey is not designed as a scientific sampling; thus, generalizations should be approached with caution. However, results of the self-reported data present an interesting descriptive measure of academic advising in Texas public postsecondary

institutions. Because of their special advising circumstances, upper-division and medical schools are not included in this report.

A Summary of Academic Advising in Texas Public Postsecondary Institutions

99 percent of reporting institutions agree:

- the mission of academic advising is to aid students in developing valid educational plans that are compatible with their life goals, and
- academic advising services and facilities are readily accessible to all students in all programs throughout the institution.

90 percent or more of the reporting institutions agree:

- The academic advising program is responsive to the developmental and demographic profiles of the students served,
- The academic advising program assists students overcome skill deficiencies,
- The academic advising program helps minority students identify, prioritize, and meet their unique educational needs, and
- Academic advisors have easy access to student's educational needs, performance, aspirations and problems.

80 - 89 percent of the reporting institutions agree:

- Academic advisors confront students who circumvent institutional policies or regulations and refer them to appropriate agencies,

- There is a well-developed, written set of academic advising goals that are consistent with the mission of the institution, and
- Instructional faculty have easy access to information about their students' reading and writing levels, and mathematics proficiencies.

70 - 79 percent of the reporting institutions agree:

- Academic advisors do not help students circumvent institutional policies and regulations.

60 - 69 percent of the reporting institutions agree:

- There is an institution-wide written philosophical statement concerning academic advising that includes clearly defined goals as well as the expectations placed on advisors and students, and
- Academic advisors are well-informed and regularly updated about the obligations and limits placed on the institution regarding academic advising by constitutional, statutory, and common law, external governmental agencies, and institutional policy.

50 - 59 percent of the reporting institutions agree:

- Academic advisors are knowledgeable about and responsive to relevant civil and criminal laws related to their role and function in the institution.

Fewer than 50 percent of the institutions agree:

- Funding is adequate to carry out the academic advising program's designated mission, and

- A regular and systematic research and evaluation program exists within the academic advising program to determine whether the educational goals and needs of students are being met.

Some patterns emerge from the institutional data. At universities and community colleges, the largest part of advising is done one-to-one between student and advisor.

Table 1: One-to-One Advising

Four-Year Institutions	1993	1994	1995
Less than 50 Percent	4	4	5
50 to 75 Percent	6	9	6
More than 75 Percent	12	15	15
	(12 @ 90%)	(5 @ 100%)	(5 @ 100%)
Two-Year Institutions			
Less than 50 Percent	1	4	0
50 to 75 Percent	11	11	10
More than 75 Percent	42	51	50
	(31 @ 90%)	(17 @ 100%)	(18 @ 100%)

At the universities, generally an advisor from the departmental faculty meets with the student in an academic department setting. In community colleges, more often professional counselors are used to meet with students in a counseling/student

development center. This trend has continued since the present system of data collection began in 1993.

Section 51.306(l), Texas Education Code states, "Each institution shall establish an advising program to advise students at every level of courses and degree options that are appropriate for the individual student." Four universities (15 percent) report that from 5 percent (Angelo State University, Texas Southern University, and The University of Texas-Pan American) to 25 percent (The University of Texas at Austin) of their first-time freshmen students do not receive advising during their first semester. Nine percent of the reporting community and technical colleges (Kilgore College, Navarro College, San Jacinto College-Central, and the three Tarrant County Junior College campuses) report 5 percent of their first-time freshmen students do not receive academic advising .

Seventeen universities (65 percent) report that advisors receive initial training in academic advising. Nine universities (35 percent) provide training to their advisors at least once each semester. Forty-five community and technical institutions (68 percent) provide advisors with initial training and 39 (54 percent) provide training each semester. Three universities (11 percent) and nine community and technical colleges (14 percent) do not evaluate their academic advising programs.

Table 2: Type of Academic Advisors

	Departmental Faculty			Professional Counselors		
Four-Year Institutions	1993	1994	1995	1993	1994	1995
Less than 50 Percent	3	8	7	6	3	4
50 to 75 Percent	9	4	9	0	1	0
More than 75 Percent	10	4	10	0	2	0
Two-Year Institutions						
Less than 50 Percent	36	39	44	24	20	32
50 to 75 Percent	14	6	14	20	20	17
More than 75 Percent	4	3	8	10	21	17

Changes in Academic Advising in Texas

Comparisons with findings from the initial 1989 advising report show that academic advising in Texas postsecondary institutions is changing in many respects. For example, in 1989 "(m)ost academic advice in institutions of higher education in Texas (was) delivered in the traditional way. Students (were) assigned to faculty members in their major departments for advising." While this remains true in 1995 at four-year institutions generally, the community and technical colleges are moving to the

use of a centralized advising center staffed by professional counselors using one-to-one advising.

In 1989, 48 percent of the institutions reported that at least 75 percent of their students met with an advisor during their first semester. This percentage increases to 89 percent of the institutions in 1995. Advisors at 85 percent of reporting universities meet with all first-time freshmen at least once, and 91 percent of reporting community and technical colleges meet with all first time freshmen at least once.

In 1989, 32 percent of the community colleges and 77 percent of the universities reported having no official with the responsibility for coordinating advising across campus. In 1995, only two universities (Southwest Texas State University and The University of Texas at El Paso) did not report a person with direct responsibilities for coordinating academic advising. All reporting community and technical colleges named a responsible official for coordinating academic advising as reported in the *Connecting Students With Success* survey.

Ninety-two percent of the reporting four-year institutions in 1995 provided some type of advisor training/updating, compared to 33 percent in 1989. Ninety-eight percent of the reporting community and technical colleges report providing advisor training/updating. This is clearly an improvement over the "47 percent of the institutions" that required advisor training in 1989.

Conclusions

Texas law mandates, "Each institution shall establish an advising program to advise students at every level of courses and degree options that are appropriate for the individual student" (TEC 51.306 (l)). The results of this year's survey indicate that not all students, including first-time entering freshmen, receive academic advising. Currently, much self-advising occurs for sophomores, students with a filed degree plan, and others. More institutions are moving from a decentralized, departmental model to a centralized, student advising center model staffed with professional advisors who report to a campus official in charge of the advising program. Compliance with the requirements of the legislation had clearly improved since 1989 and is expected to continue to improve.

Finally, the institutions seem to be more favorably disposed toward their academic advising system. Within the aggregate data of the items reprinted from the Council for the Advancement of Standards, all items except two were reported at a higher agreement rate that in previous years (not counting the top agreement classification of 90 percent or more), and only one item slipped in agreement (less institutions agree there exists a regular and systematic research and evaluation of the academic advising program).

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
Approximately how many undergraduate students are advised in a fall semester?			
Less than 1,000	6	0	6
1,000 to 3,000	22	2	24
3,001 to 7,000	26	13	36
7,001 to 11,000	7	3	10
11,001 to 15,000	3	4	7
15,001 to 20,000	1	2	3
20,001 to 25,000	0	0	0
More than 25,000	1	1	2
Approximately how many graduate students are advised in a fall semester?			
Not Applicable	66	5	71
Less than 1,000		5	5
1,000 to 3,000		10	10
3,001 to 7,000		5	5
7,001 to 11,000		1	1
11,001 to 15,000		0	0
15,001 to 20,000		0	0
20,001 to 25,000		0	0
More than 25,000		0	0

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
Please describe your institution.			
Community/junior/technical college	66		66
4-year college (no graduate programs)		2	2
College/university (graduate programs offered)		24	24
Urban	21	12	33
Suburban	18	4	22
Rural	18	6	24
Single unit campus/college	19	16	35
Multi-unit campus/college	32	8	40
Student enrollment Fall 1993			
Less than 1,000	5		5
1,000 to 3,000	15	1	16
3,001 to 7,000	26	8	34
7,001 to 11,000	11	6	17
11,001 to 15,000	3	3	6
15,001 to 20,000	1	2	3
20,001 to 25,000	2	3	5
More than 25,000	2	3	5

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
*There exists a well-developed, written set of academic advising goals that are consistent with the stated mission of the institution.			
Agree	55	20	75
Disagree	9	4	13
Don't Know	2	2	4
*The mission of academic advising is to aid students in developing valid educational plans that are compatible with their life goals.			
Agree	66	25	91
Disagree	0	1	1
Don't Know	0	0	0
*There exists an institution-wide written philosophical statement concerning academic advising that includes clearly defined goals as well as the expectations placed on advisors and students alike.			
Agree	47	15	62
Disagree	18	8	26
Don't Know	1	3	4

* Used by permission - Council for the Advancement of Standards

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
*The academic advising program is responsive to the developmental and demographic profiles of the students served.			
Agree	61	23	84
Disagree	4	3	7
Don't Know	1	0	1
*The academic advising program assists students to overcome skill deficiencies.			
Agree	63	24	87
Disagree	2	1	3
Don't Know	1	1	2
*Academic advising services and facilities are readily accessible to all students in all programs throughout the institution.			
Agree	66	25	91
Disagree	0	0	0
Don't Know	0	1	1

* Used by permission - Council for the Advancement of Standards

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
*The academic advising program assists minority students to identify, prioritize, and meet their unique educational needs.			
Agree	60	23	83
Disagree	1	1	2
Don't Know	5	2	7
*A program of regular and systematic research and evaluation exists within the academic advising program to determine whether the educational goals and needs of students are being met.			
Agree	34	11	45
Disagree	27	12	39
Don't Know	5	3	8
*Academic advisors have easy access to and review data about individual students' educational needs, performance, aspirations and problems.			
Agree	59	24	83
Disagree	6	1	7
Don't Know	1	1	2

* Used by permission - Council for the Advancement of Standards

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
*Instructional faculty have easy access to and review information about their students' current levels of reading, writing and mathematics proficiencies.			
Agree	56	18	74
Disagree	8	6	14
Don't Know	2	2	4
*Funding is adequate to carry out the academic advising program's designated mission.			
Agree	30	9	39
Disagree	35	13	48
Don't Know	1	4	5
*Academic advisors are knowledgeable about and responsive to relevant civil and criminal laws related to their role and function in the institution.			
Agree	36	12	48
Disagree	9	8	17
Don't Know	21	6	27

* Used by permission - Council for the Advancement of Standards

Academic Advising Survey
Aggregate Data
Academic Year 1994-95

	Number of Institutions Responding		
	Community and Technical Colleges	Universities	Total
*Academic advisors are well informed and regularly updated about the obligations and limitations placed upon the institution regarding academic advising, by constitutional, statutory, and common law, external governmental agencies, and institutional policy.			
Agree	43	13	56
Disagree	11	12	23
Don't Know	13	1	14
*Academic advisors systematically avoid helping students to circumvent institutional policies and regulations.			
Agree	55	18	73
Disagree	9	6	15
Don't Know	2	2	4
*Academic advisors confront students who circumvent institutional policies or regulations and refer them to appropriate agencies as necessary.			
Agree	59	23	82
Disagree	2	1	3
Don't Know	5	2	7

* Used by permission - Council for the Advancement of Standards

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe how advising is delivered at your institution.

	Percentages					
	One-to-One	In Small Groups	In Large Groups	Using Computers	Other	
Angelo State University	90	10				
East Texas State University	95	5				
Lamar University - Beaumont	90	5	5			
Midwestern State University	80	10		10		
Prairie View A&M University	55	25	10	10		
Sam Houston State University	75	5		20		
Southwest Texas State University	20	30	50			
Stephen F. Austin University	100					
Sul Ross State University	100					
Tarleton State University	70	20	10	70		
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported					
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported					
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi	30	30	40			
Texas A&M University at Galveston	80	100				
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	100					
Texas Southern University	100					
Texas Tech University	90	5	5	15		
Texas Woman's University	85	10	5			
The University of Texas at Arlington	80			0	20	Advised individually
The University of Texas at Austin	75	20		5		
The University of Texas at Dallas	100	20				
The University of Texas at El Paso	95	2	3			
The University of Texas-Pan American	15	85				
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported					
The University of Texas at San Antonio	10				90	
University of Houston	60	30	10			
University of Houston-Downtown	20		30		50	Self-advised
University of North Texas	50		50			
West Texas A&M University	85	15				

Community and Technical Colleges

Item: Describe how advising is delivered at your institution.

	Percentages					
	One-to-One	In Small Groups	In Large Groups	Using Computers	Other	
Alvin Community College	100	10		100		
Amarillo College	70	5	5	10	10	Seminars, testing
Angelina College	75	25				
Austin Community College	99	1				
Bee County College	95	5				
Blinn College	100					
Brazosport College	95	5				
Central Texas College District	100					
Cisco Junior College	95	5				
Clarendon College	50	50				
College of the Mainland	100					
Collin County Community College District	65	20				
Dallas County Community College District						
Brookhaven College	95	5				
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported					
Eastfield College	95	5				
El Centro College	80	20				
Mountain View College	75			100		
North Lake College	75	20			5	Advised using other methods
Richland College	98	2				
Del Mar College	90	10				
El Paso Community College District	70	30				
Frank Phillips College	90	10				
Galveston College	100					
Grayson County College	90	10				
Hill College	99				1	
Houston Community College System	95	5				
Howard College	Data Not Reported					
Kilgore College	70	5		100	25	Telephone registration
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported					
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported					
Lamar University-Port Arthur	95	5				
Laredo Community College	95	5				
Lee College	60	10			30	Self-advised
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported					
Midland College	80		20			
Navarro College	95				5	Part-time students
North Central Texas College District	100					

Item: Describe how advising is delivered at your institution.

	Percentages					
	One-to-One	In Small Groups	In Large Groups	Using Computers	Other	
North Harris Montgomery Community College District						
Kingwood College	95					
Montgomery College	75	25				
North Harris College	90	10				
Tomball College	80	20				
Northeast Texas Community College	100					
Odessa College	100					
Palo Alto College	100	25	5			
Panola College	95	5				
Paris Junior College	85					
Ranger Junior College	100					
San Antonio College	95	5				
San Jacinto College District						
Central Campus	95	5				
North Campus	95	5				
South Campus	92	8				
South Plains College	63				37	Orientation
South Texas Community College	75	15				
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported					
St. Philip's College	90		10			
Tarrant County Junior College District						
Northeast Campus	90	10				
Northwest Campus	90	10				
South Campus	90	10				
Temple Junior College	75				25	Self-advised
Texarkana College	100					
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported					
Texas State Technical College System						
TSTC-Amarillo	100					
TSTC-Harlingen	100					
TSTC-Sweetwater	75	25				
TSTC-Waco	100					
Trinity Valley Community College	100					
Tyler Junior College	75	20	5			
Vernon Regional Junior College	95	5				
Victoria College, The	100					
Weatherford College	100					
Western Texas College	95	5				
Wharton County Junior College	100					

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe who provides advising at your institution.

	Percentages						Primary Job To Advise Students	
	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other	Number Full-time	Number Part-time
Angelo State University			96			EOS/Advisors - 4	3	
East Texas State University	40	15	40			Self-advised - 5	3	5
Lamar University-Beaumont		30	60			10	20	30
Midwestern State University			95			Staff - 5	3	0
Prairie View A&M University	30	5	60	5			10	120
Sam Houston State University			75		20	Deans/Chairs - 5	0	0
Southwest Texas State University		10	90				1	0
Stephen F. Austin University			100				2	1
Sul Ross State University			85	15			61	3
Tarleton State University			100		70			
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported							
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported							
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi	40		40			Staff/Lrning Ctr - 20	3	
Texas A&M University at Galveston			100				1	
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	15	5	80				2	2
Texas Southern University	85		15				8	35
Texas Tech University	20	5	50	15	15	5	12	4
Texas Woman's University	10	10	80					
The University of Texas at Arlington	25	25	50				25	
The University of Texas at Austin	60	20	20				3	
The University of Texas at Dallas		30	70				0	8
The University of Texas at El Paso	25	20	55				1	1
The University of Texas-Pan American	40		60				8	5
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported							
The University of Texas at San Antonio							5	
University of Houston	60	10	30				12	0
University of Houston-Downtown	45		5			Self-advised - 50	2	2
University of North Texas	10	30	50	10			2	0
West Texas A&M University			100				1	20

Item: Describe who provides advising at your institution.

	Percentages						Primary Job To Advise Students	
	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other	Number Full-time	Number Part-time
Alvin Community College	50		50	50	100		6	2
Amarillo College		5	20	70	5		14	
Angelina College			80	20			84	5
Austin Community College	70		1	29			6	12
Bee County College			85	15				
Blinn College			75	25			9	
Brazosport College			10	90			5	4
Central Texas College District	25		25	50			30	5
Cisco Junior College			70	30				
Clarendon College	50		25	25				
College of the Mainland	30		50	20			90	
Collin County Community College District	85		15				10	
Dallas County Community College District								
Brookhaven College	65		5	30			6	20
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported							
Eastfield College	50			50			14	1
El Centro College			30	70			10	2
Mountain View College	40			60			1	
North Lake College	75		5	20			7	15
Richland College	50		35	15			21	34
Del Mar College	30		60			Advisors - 10	14	3
El Paso Community College District		10	10	80			20	2
Frank Phillips College		20	50	30			1	
Galveston College	25			75			3	8
Grayson County College			5	95			4	
Hill College	75		25					
Houston Community College System	5			95			200	75
Howard College	Data Not Reported							
Kilgore College			40	60	100		6	2
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported							
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported							
Lamar University-Port Arthur	80		15	5			3	
Laredo Community College			75	25			1	
Lee College			30	60		Self-advised - 10	7	
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported							
Midland College			15	85			3	2
Navarro College	50	5	40	5			8	
North Central Texas College District			60	40			15	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Item: Describe who provides advising at your institution.

	Percentages						Primary Job To Advise Students	
	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other	Number Full-time	Number Part-time
North Harris Montgomery Community College District								
Kingwood College			10	90			4	
Montgomery College		30	20	50			1	3
North Harris College	25	10	15	40	10		10	
Tomball College	90		5	5			3	2
Northeast Texas Community College			20	80			4	
Odessa College			5	95			7	
Palo Alto College			75	25			8	3
Panola College		5	5	90			4	
Paris Junior College		5	85	10			3	
Ranger Junior College			100					
San Antonio College			10			Non-Instr. Fac-90	28	8
San Jacinto College District								
Central Campus			30	70			8	
North Campus			5	95			5	
South Campus			22	78			6	
South Plains College			70	30				
South Texas Community College		15		75			100	
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported							
St. Philip's College			95	5			115	
Tarrant County Junior College District								
Northeast Campus		5	5	90			40	10
Northwest Campus		5	5	90			40	10
South Campus		5	5	90			40	10
Temple Junior College		25		50		Self-advised - 25	5	1
Texarkana College				80		Division Chairs - 20		
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported							
Texas State Technical College System								
TSTC-Amarillo						Advisory Ctr - 100		
TSTC-Harlingen	5		85	10				
TSTC-Sweetwater			100				60	
TSTC-Waco			50	50			6	
Trinity Valley Community College			40	60			5	
Tyler Junior College			60	40			175	
Vernon Regional Junior College			20	80			4	
Victoria College, The			35	65			5	
Weatherford College			10	90				
Western Texas College			95	5			6	
Wharton County Junior College			70	30			2	50

Item: Describe where advising is provided

Percentages

	Advising Center	Academic Departments	Counseling/ Student Development Center	Other
Angelo State University		96		
East Texas State University	55	40	5	Educ. Serv. - 4
Lamar University-Beaumont	50	50		
Midwestern State University		95		
Prairie View A&M University	15	60	25	Staff - 5
Sam Houston State University		75		
Southwest Texas State University		50		Computer Asst'd - 25
Stephen F. Austin University		100		By school - 50
Sul Ross State University	14	85		1
Tarleton State University		100		
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported			
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported			
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi		50	50	
Texas A&M University at Galveston		100		
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	20	80		
Texas Southern University	100			
Texas Tech University	40	60		
Texas Woman's University	15	85		
The University of Texas at Arlington	25	75		
The University of Texas at Austin	10	60		Academic deans - 30
The University of Texas at Dallas	20	80		
The University of Texas at El Paso	17	80		3
The University of Texas-Pan American	40	60		
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported			
The University of Texas at San Antonio	10	80	10	
University of Houston	50	50		
University of Houston-Downtown	30	20		Self-Advised - 50
University of North Texas		100		TASP Office - 100
West Texas A&M University	30	70		

Community and Technical Colleges

Item: Describe where advising is provided

Percentages

	Advising Center	Academic Departments	Counseling/ Student Development Center	Other
Alvin Community College		60	100	
Amarillo College		20	75	Spec. Adv. Days - 5
Angelina College		80	20	
Austin Community College	70	1	29	
Bee County College		85	15	
Blinn College		75	25	
Brazosport College		10	90	
Central Texas College District		25	75	
Cisco Junior College		70	30	
Clarendon College		50	50	
College of the Mainland	30	50	20	
Collin County Community College District	90			
Dallas County Community College District				
Brookhaven College	90		10	
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported			
Eastfield College			95	In-class - 5
El Centro College		10	90	
Mountain View College			60	ESL & TASP Off. - 40
North Lake College		5	95	
Richland College	100			
Del Mar College		60	35	TASP Adv. Ctr. - 5
El Paso Community College District		10	90	
Frank Phillips College	30	40	30	
Galveston College			100	
Grayson County College		5	95	
Hill College		30	60	10
Houston Community College System		5	95	
Howard College	Data Not Reported			
Kilgore College		40	60	
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported			
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported			
Lamar University-Port Arthur	80	15		Spec. Populations Off - 5
Laredo Community College		75	25	
Lee College		30	60	Self-Advising - 10
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported			
Midland College		15	85	
Navarro College		40	60	
North Central Texas College District		60	40	

Community and Technical Colleges

Item: Describe where advising is provided.

Percentages

	Advising Center	Academic Departments	Counseling/ Student Development Center	Other
North Harris Montgomery Community College District				
Kingwood College		10	90	
Montgomery College			100	
North Harris College		25	75	
Tomball College	90	5	5	
Northeast Texas Community College		20	80	
Odessa College		5	95	
Palo Alto College	25	50	25	
Panola College		5	95	
Paris Junior College	15	85		
Ranger Junior College		100		
San Antonio College			62	Various depts. - 38
San Jacinto College District				
Central Campus		30	70	
North Campus		5	95	
South Campus		22	78	
South Plains College	40	30	30	
South Texas Community College	100			
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported			
St. Philip's College		75	20	Off. Adv.& Assessmt. - 5
Tarrant County Junior College System				
Northeast Campus		5	95	
Northwest Campus		5	95	
South Campus		5	95	
Temple Junior College			75	Self-Advising - 25
Texarkana College		20	80	
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported			
Texas State Technical College System				
TSTC-Amarillo	100			
TSTC-Harlingen		85	15	
TSTC-Sweetwater		100		
TSTC-Waco			50	Program Department - 50
Trinity Valley Community College			60	Registration Advising - 40
Tyler Junior College		80	20	
Vernon Regional Junior College		20	80	
Victoria College, The		35	65	
Weatherford College				Student Services - 100
Western Texas College		95	5	
Wharton County Junior College		70	30	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe how general TASP information/remediation requirements are provided.

Percentages

	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other
Angelo State University			96			EOS/Advisors - 4
East Texas State University	85	15				
Lamar University-Beaumont		20	80			
Midwestern State University			25		5	Catalog, schedule, etc. - 70
Prairie View A&M University	15		60	25		
Sam Houston State University			75		5	Catalog, schedule, etc. - 20
Southwest Texas State University						TASP Office - 100
Stephen F. Austin University						TASP Office - 100
Sul Ross State University			85	15		
Tarleton State University			100		70	
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported					
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported					
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi	20		30			Developmental Ctr - 50
Texas A&M University at Galveston			80	20		
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	100					
Texas Southern University	100		50	50		Distribution - 25
Texas Tech University	60	5	15	15		5
Texas Woman's University	5	45	20		30	
The University of Texas at Arlington	50	25	25			
The University of Texas at Austin	20					Mailed information - 80
The University of Texas at Dallas		40	60			
The University of Texas at El Paso	50	50				
The University of Texas-Pan American	95		5			
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported					
The University of Texas at San Antonio	100					
University of Houston	100					
University of Houston-Downtown	95		5			
University of North Texas	90		5			TASP Office - 5
West Texas A&M University	50		50			

Community and Technical Colleges

Item: Describe how general TASP information/remediation requirements are provided.

	Percentages					
	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other
Alvin Community College	50		50	50	100	
Amarillo College		5	15	60	10	Printed materials/posters - 10
Angelina College			60	20		Admissions - 20
Austin Community College	90			10		
Bee County College			85	15		
Blinn College			40	50		Handouts - 10
Brazosport College	50		10	40		
Central Texas College District	20		10	70		
Cisco Junior College			40	60		
Clarendon College	50		25	25		
College of the Mainland	30		50	20		
Collin County Community College District	30					TASP Office - 65
Dallas County Community College District						
Brookhaven College	85			15		
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported					
Eastfield College	50			50		
El Centro College			10	90		
Mountain View College	50			50		
North Lake College	75		5	20		
Richland College	85		10	5		
Del Mar College				20	40	TASP Advisors - 40
El Paso Community College District		5		95		
Frank Phillips College	30		30	40		
Galveston College	25			75		
Grayson County College				90		Learning Asst Ctr - 10
Hill College			30	60		10
Houston Community College System		5		90		Devel. Studies - 5
Howard College	Data Not Reported					
Kilgore College			40	60	100	
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported					
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported					
Lamar University-Port Arthur	98		2			
Laredo Community College			75	25		
Lee College			10	90		
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported					
Midland College				100		
Navarro College	50	5	40	5		
North Central Texas College District			20	80		

Community and Technical Colleges

Item: Describe how general TASP information/remediation requirements are provided.

Percentages

	Professional Advisors	Paraprofessional Advisors	Departmental Faculty	Professional Counselors	Computer Assisted	Other
North Harris Montgomery Community College District						
Kingwood College			10	90		
Montgomery College		30	20	50		
North Harris College				100		
Tomball College	90		5	5		
Northeast Texas Community College			20	80		
Odessa College				100		
Palo Alto College	70		10	20		
Panola College			5	90		Staff, newspaper, etc. - 5
Paris Junior College				5		Devel. Faculty - 95
Ranger Junior College			100	100		
San Antonio College			10			Non instructional faculty - 90
San Jacinto College District						
Central College			30	70		
North College			5	95		
South College			22	78		
South Plains College			20	50		Brochures - 30
South Texas Community College				100		
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported					
St. Philip's College			60	25		Office of Advising - 15
Tarrant County Junior College District						
Northeast Campus		5	5	90		
Northwest Campus		5	5	90		
South Campus		5	5	90		
Temple Junior College		25		75		
Texarkana College			10	80		Brochures, etc. - 10
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported					
Texas State Technical College System						
TSTC-Amarillo			100			Orientation - 100
TSTC-Harlingen	30		10	60		
TSTC-Sweetwater			25	75		
TSTC-Waco			50	50		
Trinity Valley Community College			40	60		
Tyler Junior College			60	40		
Vernon Regional Junior College			5	95		
Victoria College, The			35	65		
Weatherford College			5	95		
Western Texas College			5	95		
Wharton County Junior College			70	30		

Item: TASP students are notified of their remediation requirements through:

	Phone Notice	Written Notice Each Term	Written Notice When TASP Failed	Registration Bars or Holds	Other
Angelo State University		x		x	
East Texas State University		x		x	
Lamar University-Beaumont				x	
Midwestern State University				x	Personal conferences
Prairie View A&M University	x	x	x	x	
Sam Houston State University	x		x	x	
Southwest Texas State University		x	x	x	
Stephen F. Austin University	x	x	x	x	
Sul Ross State University		x	x	x	Remediation contract
Tarleton State University				x	
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported				
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported				
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi		x	x	x	
Texas A&M University at Galveston			x	x	
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	x	x	x		Orientation, flyers, etc.
Texas Southern University		x	x	x	Referred to GUAC
Texas Tech University	x	x	x	x	Programmed automatically
Texas Woman's University	x	x		x	Personal conference
The University of Texas at Arlington			x	x	
The University of Texas at Austin		x	x	x	
The University of Texas at Dallas		x		x	
The University of Texas at El Paso		x		x	Acceptance letter
The University of Texas-Pan American		x	x	x	
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported				
The University of Texas at San Antonio				x	
University of Houston	x	x	x	x	Disenrollment letters
University of Houston-Downtown				x	Acceptance letters
University of North Texas		x	x	x	
West Texas A&M University		x	x	x	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Item: TASP students are notified of their remediation requirements through:

	Phone Notice	Written Notice Each Term	Written Notice When TASP Failed	Registration Bars or Holds	Other
Alvin Community College		x		x	
Amarillo College				x	
Angelina College		x	x	x	Phone registration messages
Austin Community College	x	x	x	x	Referred to advising by instructors
Bee County College				x	
Blinn College				x	
Brazosport College		x	x	x	
Central Texas College District				x	
Cisco Junior College				x	
Clarendon College	x	x	x	x	Class announcements
College of the Mainland				x	
Collin County Community College District	x	x		x	
Dallas County Community College District					
Brookhaven College			x	x	
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported				
Eastfield College				x	
El Centro College		x	x	x	Written/phone notification when necessary
Mountain View College				x	
North Lake College		x		x	
Richland College		x	x	x	
Del Mar College	x	x		x	
El Paso Community College District			x	x	In person by advisor
Frank Phillips College				x	TASP Advising Form
Galveston College			x		
Grayson County College		x		x	One-to-one basis
Hill College		x	x	x	Counselors, advisors, etc.
Houston Community College System	x	x	x	x	
Howard College	Data Not Reported				
Kilgore College	x	x	x	x	
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported				
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported				
Lamar University-Port Arthur		x		x	
Laredo Community College	x	x	x	x	
Lee College	x			x	
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported				
Midland College				x	
Navarro College				x	
North Central Texas College District				x	

Item: TASP students are notified of their remediation requirements through:

	Phone Notice	Written Notice Each Term	Written Notice When TASP Failed	Registration Bars or Holds	Other
North Harris Montgomery Community College District					
Kingwood College				x	Mandatory attendance contracts
Montgomery College				x	
North Harris College		x	x	x	
Tomball College		x		x	
Northeast Texas Community College		x		x	Computerized advising system
Odessa College				x	
Palo Alto College	x		x	x	9th college-level hr notices
Panola College	x	x	x	x	
Paris Junior College	x		x	x	
Ranger Junior College				x	
San Antonio College		x	x	x	TASP affidavit
San Jacinto College District					
Central Campus		x	x	x	
North Campus			x	x	
South Campus	x	x			
South Plains College			x	x	Advising process
South Texas Community College				x	
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported				
St. Philip's College		x	x	x	
Tarrant County Junior College District					
Northeast Campus		x		x	
Northwest Campus		x		x	
South Campus		x		x	
Temple Junior College		x		x	
Texarkana College		x		x	
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported				
Texas State Technical College System					
TSTC-Amarillo			x	x	
TSTC-Harlingen				x	
TSTC-Sweetwater	x	x	x	x	
TSTC-Waco		x	x	x	
Trinity Valley Community College		x		x	
Tyler Junior College		x			
Vernon Regional Junior College					Advising interview
Victoria College, The				x	
Weatherford College				x	With counselors
Western Texas College				x	
Wharton County Junior College		x	x	x	

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe the training which your advisors receive.

	Initial Training:		Update Training Occurs:				
	TASP	Academic Advising	At least once per semester	At least once each year	Other	Title: Advising Director	Title: Supervisor
Angelo State University					All updates available		
East Texas State University	x	x		x	Staff meetings	TASP Coordinator	English Dept. Head
Lamar University-Beaumont	x	x	x			Director	Dir of Acad Advising
Midwestern State University					Advisers disseminate information	Vice President	President
Prairie View A&M University	x	x		x		Director	Provost and AVP
Sam Houston State University				x		Dean	Vice President
Southwest Texas State University					x		
Stephen F. Austin University					Continuous training	Associate Dean	Dean
Sul Ross State University	x	x		x		Director	Dean
Tarleton State University	x	x			Workshop	Director	Vice President
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported						
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported						
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi		x		x		Director	Assoc Vice President
Texas A&M University at Galveston						Associate Dean	Dean
Texas A&M University-Kingsville						Director	Dean
Texas Southern University	x	x	x	x		Director	Associate Dean
Texas Tech University	x	x	x	x	Periodic meetings	Associate Dean	Dean
Texas Woman's University	x	x	x	x		Director	Associate Dean
The University of Texas at Arlington	x	x			Updates	Asst Provost	Provost
The University of Texas at Austin		x			Professional Development	Dean	Exec. Vice President
The University of Texas at Dallas	x	x			Publications from THECB	Dean	Provost/Vice President
The University of Texas at El Paso	x	x	x		No university-wide policy		
The University of Texas-Pan American	x	x	x		Attend conferences	Director	Associate Dean
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported						
The University of Texas at San Antonio						Director	Provost
University of Houston	x	x	x		Ongoing updates	Assistant Vice President	Vice President
University of Houston-Downtown	x	x	x			Director	Dean
University of North Texas	x			x		Advisor	Coordinator
West Texas A&M University	x	x	x			Assoc. Dir. Admissions	Director

Item: Describe the training which your advisors receive

	Initial Training:		Update Training Occurs:				
	TASP	Academic Advising	At least once per semester	At least once each year	Other	Title: Advising Director	Title: Supervisor
Alvin Community College	x	x		x		Director	Associate Dean
Amarillo College	x	x	x		Advising Connection Program	Director	Dean
Angelina College				x		Dean	President
Austin Community College	x	x	x	x	Daily updates	Advising Specialists	Deans
Bee County College			x			Vice Presidents	President
Blinn College			x			Director	Dean
Brazosport College	x	x	x			Dean	President
Central Texas College District	x	x	x	x		Dean	Deputy Chancellor
Cisco Junior College	x	x	x				Dean
Clarendon College	x	x		x		Dean	President
College of the Mainland				x		Dean	President
Collin County Community College District	x	x			Monthly	Director	Dean
Dallas County Community College District							
Brookhaven College	x		x			Coordinator	Dean
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported						
Eastfield College	x	x	x	x	Staff meetings	Director	Vice President
El Centro College	x	x	x			Counselor	Dean
Mountain View College	x			x		Dean	Vice President
North Lake College	x	x	x			Dean	Vice President
Richland College	x	x	x		Computer updates	Coordinator	Dean
Del Mar College	x	x			Departmental	Director	Vice President
El Paso Community College District	x	x	x			Coordinators	Executive Deans
Frank Phillips College	x					Dean	President
Galveston College	x	x	x			VP/Dean	President
Grayson County College	x	x	x			Coordinator	Vice President
Hill College	x	x		x		Deans	Vice President
Houston Community College System	x	x	x	x		Dean	President
Howard College	Data Not Reported						
Kilgore College	x	x	x			Director	Dean
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported						
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported						
Lamar University-Port Arthur	x	x	x				Dean
Laredo Community College	x			x	Advisor's Handbook	Associate Dean	President
Lee College	x	x	x	x		Director	Dean
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported						
Midland College			x			Director	Vice President
Navarro College	x			x		Dean	Vice President
North Central Texas College District	x	x	x			Director	Dean

Item: Describe the training which your advisors receive.

	Initial Training:		Update Training Occurs:				
	TASP	Academic Advising	At least once per semester	At least once each year	Other	Title: Advising Director	Title: Supervisor
North Harris Montgomery Community College District							
Kingwood College	x	x	x			Director	Dean
Montgomery College	x	x		x		Coordinator	Vice President
North Harris College					Updates	Director	Vice President
Tomball College	x	x		x		Coordinator	Vice President
Northeast Texas Community College	x			x		Director	Executive Dean
Odessa College	x	x	x		Staff meeting updates	Director	Student Personnel Officer
Palo Alto College	x	x	x	x	Computer training	Director	Vice President
Panola College	x	x		x		Dean	President
Paris Junior College		x			Timely info provided	Dean	President
Ranger Junior College	x	x		x		Director	Dean
San Antonio College	x	x	x		Professional Development	Director	Vice President
San Jacinto College District							
Central Campus	x	x	x	x		Director	Vice President
North Campus	x		x	x		Coordinator	Vice President
South Campus	x	x	x	x			Vice President
South Plains College	x	x		x	Advising Handbook	Director	Dean
South Texas Community College			x			Director	Dean
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported						
St. Philip's College	x	x	x	x		Director	Vice President
Tarrant County Junior College District							
Northeast Campus	x	x	x			Associate Dean	Dean
Northwest Campus	x	x	x			Associate Dean	Dean
South Campus	x	x	x			Associate Dean	Dean
Temple Junior College	x			x		Director	Dean
Texarkana College			x			Director	Dean
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported						
Texas State Technical College System							
TSTC-Amarillo	x	x		x		TASP Administrator	Dean
TSTC-Harlingen	x	x	x			Director	Dean
TSTC-Sweetwater	x	x				Dean	President
TSTC-Waco				x		Director	Dean
Trinity Valley Community College	x	x	x			Dean	Vice President
Tyler Junior College	x	x		x		Deans	President
Vernon Regional Junior College	x					Director	Dean
Victoria College, The	x	x	x			Director	Dean
Weatherford College	x	x	x			Director	Dean
Western Texas College	x			x		Director	Dean
Wharton County Junior College			x	x		Director	Dean

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	All Students					First-time Freshmen				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
Angelo State University		5	60	25	10		5	60	25	10
East Texas State University	5	15	50	25	5	5		5	85	5
Lamar University-Beaumont			85	10	5			78	20	2
Midwestern State University			60	40				50	50	
Prairie View A&M University		20	30	30	20			20	80	
Sam Houston State University		5	90	5				95	5	
Southwest Texas State University		30	60	10				80	20	
Stephen F. Austin University			50	50				50	50	
Sul Ross State University			80	10	10			50	40	10
Tarleton State University		5	75	20		10		75	15	
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported									
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported									
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi			50	50					100	
Texas A&M University at Galveston			100					100		
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	30	5	50	15		100			5	
Texas Southern University						65	5	5	10	15
Texas Tech University	15		50	35		30		30	40	
Texas Woman's University	5		75	20		5		85	10	
The University of Texas at Arlington	10		50	40		15		35	50	
The University of Texas at Austin		25	50	25			25	50	25	
The University of Texas at Dallas						20		70	10	
The University of Texas at El Paso		20	50	30				60	40	
The University of Texas-Pan American		5	30	60	5		5	25	65	5
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported									
The University of Texas at San Antonio		40	50	10					100	
University of Houston	0.5	30	50	15	5	1		75	20	5
University of Houston-Downtown	2		35	13				80	20	
University of North Texas	5	40		50	5	5		100	50	
West Texas A&M University			75	15	10				20	80

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Item: Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	All Students					First-time Freshmen				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
Alvin Community College	5		35	55	5	5		35	55	5
Amarillo College	1.5	0.5	8	50	30	30			10	60
Angelina College				100				25	60	15
Austin Community College		10	50	30	10			100	25	10
Bee County College			90	10				90	10	
Blinn College	5		65	25	5	5		55	35	5
Brazosport College		8	80	10	1			100	40	
Central Texas College District			75	20	5			40	50	10
Cisco Junior College			30	70				50	50	
Clarendon College			20	70	10			25	50	25
College of the Mainland			30	70				30	70	
Collin County Community College District				60					65	
Dallas County Community College District										
Brookhaven College			80	20	5			100	80	
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported									
Eastfield College			60	35	5			60	35	5
El Centro College	1		80	20		1		50	50	
Mountain View College	1	25	60	10	5			100	10	5
North Lake College	5		70	25		95		5		
Richland College			80	15	5			90	10	
Del Mar College	10	25	40	25		50		35	15	
El Paso Community College District			30	70					100	
Frank Phillips College	40			60		80			20	
Galveston College			25	50	25			25	50	25
Grayson County College			80	20				80	20	
Hill College	20		40	40		60		30	10	
Houston Community College System				95	5			5	90	5
Howard College	Data Not Reported									
Kilgore College		5	20	50	25		5	5	60	30
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported									
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported									
Lamar University-Port Arthur		40	50	10				100		
Laredo Community College		20	70	10				95	5	
Lee College	5	10	55	20	10	5		50	40	5
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported									
Midland College		5	20	70	5			5	90	5
Navarro College	20	20	20	20	20	80	5	5	5	5
North Central Texas College District	5		65	30		5		65	25	

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

Item: Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	All Students					First-time Freshmen				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
North Harris Montgomery Community College District										
Kingwood College			80	20					100	
Montgomery College			70	30				60	40	
North Harris College	5		65	20	10					
Tomball College			95	5				25	75	
Northeast Texas Community College			100					100		
Odessa College	20		65	15		100				
Palo Alto College	4	20	40	30	6	25		10	60	5
Panola College			95	5				95		
Paris Junior College	33			33	33					
Ranger Junior College				100					100	
San Antonio College	22	10		68		90				
San Jacinto College District										
Central College		35	40	20	5		5	45	40	10
North College		5	65	25	5			95	5	
South College		30	30	40				65	25	10
South Plains College	37		35	28		50		20	30	
South Texas Community College				100				100		
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported									
St. Philip's College			85	15				90	10	
Tarrant County Junior College District										
Northeast Campus			90	5	5		5	90	5	
Northwest Campus			90	5	5		5	90	5	
South Campus			90	5	5		5	90	5	
Temple Junior College		35	50	15				75	25	
Texarkana College		20	60	20				60	40	
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported									
Texas State Technical College System										
TSTC-Amarillo			100			80		20		
TSTC-Harlingen			100					100		
TSTC-Sweetwater	100					100				
TSTC-Waco			33	66				72	33	
Trinity Valley Community College	10		10	70	10	60		10	30	
Tyler Junior College	20	20	50	10		40		50	10	
Vernon Regional Junior College			85	15				90	10	
Victoria College, The				100		100				
Weatherford College			50	25	25	5		30	35	30
Western Texas College				100		95		5		
Wharton County Junior College			100					100		

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

Texas Public Universities

Item Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	At-Risk Freshmen					Other At-Risk Students				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
Angelo State University			5	35	60			5	35	60
East Texas State University			15	80	5			25	70	5
Lamar University-Beaumont			80	10	10			80	10	10
Midwestern State University			30	70				30	70	
Prairie View A&M University	10	10	25	55		5	10	25	55	5
Sam Houston State University				100					100	
Southwest Texas State University			70	30				70	30	
Stephen F. Austin University			50	50				50	50	
Sul Ross State University				90	10			80	15	5
Tarleton State University			75	25				85	15	
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Reported									
Texas A&M University	Data Not Reported									
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi				100					100	
Texas A&M University at Galveston	100					100				
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	100						5			95
Texas Southern University	80		2	8	10	80		2	8	10
Texas Tech University	30		5	65		30		5	65	
Texas Woman's University			50	50				50	50	
The University of Texas at Arlington	60			40		30			70	
The University of Texas at Austin		5	50	45				75	25	
The University of Texas at Dallas			20	40	40				75	25
The University of Texas at El Paso			25	75				75	25	
The University of Texas-Pan American		5	30	60	5					
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Reported									
The University of Texas at San Antonio			60	40			80	20		
University of Houston	25		60	10	5	10		75	10	5
University of Houston-Downtown			80	20				80	20	
University of North Texas			100	50				100	50	
West Texas A&M University					100				100	

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

Item: Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	At-Risk Freshmen					Other At-Risk Students				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
Alvin Community College	5		35	55	5				100	
Amarillo College	5			15	80	5			15	80
Angelina College			10	80	10			25	60	15
Austin Community College			100	50	10			100	50	10
Bee County College			90	10				90	10	
Blinn College	5		20	70	5	5		20	70	5
Brazosport College			100	40				100	40	
Central Texas College District			10	80	10			10	80	10
Cisco Junior College			20	80				20	80	
Clarendon College	Data Not Reported									
College of the Mainland			30	70				40	60	
Collin County Community College District				45					10	
Dallas County Community College District										
Brookhaven College			100					100		
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Reported									
Eastfield College			20	75	5			20	75	5
El Centro College	1		30	20				80	20	
Mountain View College	Data Not Reported									
North Lake College			16	2				16		
Richland College				100				75	20	5
Del Mar College	70		25	5		10		85	5	
El Paso Community College District				100				30	70	
Frank Phillips College	100					100				
Galveston College			10	50	40			10	50	40
Grayson County College			2	98				5	95	
Hill College	20		30	45	5	20		30	45	5
Houston Community College System			15	75	5			5	90	5
Howard College	Data Not Reported									
Kilgore College		5	20	50	25		5	20	50	25
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Reported									
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Reported									
Lamar University-Port Arthur			95	5				95	5	
Laredo Community College			95	5				95	5	
Lee College	5		60	30	5	5		70	20	5
McLennan Community College	Data Not Reported									
Midland College			5	90	5			5	90	5
Navarro College	80	5	5	5	5	80	5	5	5	5
North Central Texas College District	5		65	25				70	30	

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

Item: Describe the frequency of student interaction with advising during semester.

Percentages

	At-Risk Freshmen					Other At-Risk Students				
	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**	Contin-uous*	None	Once	2-4 Times	5 or More**
North Harris Montgomery Community College District										
Kingwood College			80	20				80	20	
Montgomery College			80	20				80	20	
North Harris College	Data Not Reported									
Tomball College			50	50				50	50	
Northeast Texas Community College				100					100	
Odessa College	100							85	15	
Palo Alto College	100			100					100	
Panola College			95	5				25	75	
Paris Junior College					85					50
Ranger Junior College				100					100	
San Antonio College	Data Not Reported									
San Jacinto College District										
Central Campus		5	50	25	20		5	50	25	20
North Campus				85	15			85	15	
South Campus			65	25	10			65	25	10
South Plains College	50				50					100
South Texas Community College			100					100		
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Reported									
St. Philip's College			90	10				90	10	
Tarrant County Junior College District										
Northeast Campus			90	5	5			85	10	5
Northwest Campus			90	5	5			85	10	5
South Campus			90	5	5			85	10	5
Temple Junior College			50	50				75	25	
Texarkana College			20	80				20	80	
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Reported									
Texas State Technical College System										
TSTC-Amarillo	100									
TSTC-Harlingen			100					100		
TSTC-Sweetwater	100					100				
TSTC-Waco				100					100	
Trinity Valley Community College	80			20				10	80	10
Tyler Junior College	40		50	10				90	10	
Vernon Regional Junior College			85	15				85	15	
Victoria College, The	100								100	
Weatherford College			30	50	20			30	50	20
Western Texas College	95			5				100		
Wharton County Junior College				100					100	

* Orientation Course
** Not Continuously

Texas Public Universities

Item: Describe how you evaluate your advising program .

	Student Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Faculty/ Staff Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Other	Program Not Evaluated
Angelo State University	x		x					
East Texas State University	x		x	x		x		
Lamar University-Beaumont	x	x						x
Midwestern State University							Graduation Survey	
Prairie View A&M University				x	x	x		
Sam Houston State University							Student Feedback	
Southwest Texas State University	x							
Stephen F. Austin University	x							
Sul Ross State University	x	x	x					
Tarleton State University							Occasional surveys	
Texas A&M International University	Data Not Available							
Texas A&M University	Data Not Available							
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi	x			x				
Texas A&M University at Galveston								x
Texas A&M University-Kingsville	x	x		x		x		
Texas Southern University	x			x				
Texas Tech University	x	x	x	x		x	Survey	
Texas Woman's University	x		x					
The University of Texas at Arlington	x		x					
The University of Texas at Austin	x						Intermittantly	
The University of Texas at Dallas	x			x				
The University of Texas at El Paso							Occasional Survey	
The University of Texas-Pan American	x		x					
The University of Texas of the Permian Basin	Data Not Available							
The University of Texas at San Antonio								x
University of Houston	x	x					Internal Review	
University of Houston-Downtown	x			x			Student Tracking	
University of North Texas							Passing TASP	
West Texas A&M University	x							

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Community and Technical Colleges

Item. Describe how you evaluate your advising program

	Student Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Faculty/ Staff Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Other	Program Not Evaluated
Alvin Community College				x		x	Student Surveys	
Amarillo College	x		x	x		x	Former Student Survey	
Angelina College	x		x	x		x		
Austin Community College								x
Bee County College	x		x					
Blinn College	x		x	x		x		
Brazosport College	x		x					
Central Texas College District	x	x		x		x		
Cisco Junior College	x		x					
Clarendon College	x		x				x	
College of the Mainland								x
Collin County Community College District	x		x	x		x		
Dallas County Community College District								
Brookhaven College	x	x						
Cedar Valley College	Data Not Available							
Eastfield College	x	x						
El Centro College	x		x	x		x		
Mountain View College	x		x	x		x		
North Lake College	x		x	x		x		
Richland College	x	x		x	x			
Del Mar College							x	x
El Paso Community College District	x		x					
Frank Phillips College	x	x	x					
Galveston College	x		x				Input from liaisons	
Grayson County College							Informal surveys	
Hill College	x		x	x		x	x	
Houston Community College System	x		x				Counselor Evaluation	
Howard College	Data Not Available							
Kilgore College	x	x		x	x			
Lamar Institute of Technology	Data Not Available							
Lamar University-Orange	Data Not Available							
Lamar University-Port Arthur	x		x	x		x		
Laredo Community College	x		x					
Lee College	x	x	x					
McLennan Community College	Data Not Available							
Midland College	x		x					
Navarro College							Group meetings	
North Central Texas College District								x

Item: Describe how you evaluate your advising program

	Student Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Faculty/ Staff Survey	(Each Term)	(Annually)	Other	Program Not Evaluated
North Harris Montgomery Community College District								
Kingwood College								x
Montgomery College								x
North Harris College	x						Regular audit	
Tomball College	x		x					
Northeast Texas Community College	x		x	x		x		
Odessa College	x	x						
Palo Alto College	x			x				
Panola College	x		x					
Paris Junior College								x
Ranger Junior College	x		x	x		x		
San Antonio College	x	x		x		x		
San Jacinto College District								
Central Campus	x	x	x	x		x		
North Campus	x		x					
South Campus	x	x		x		x		
South Plains College	x	x					TEXSIS	
South Texas Community College								x
Southwest Texas Junior College	Data Not Available							
St. Philip's College	x		x				Statistical Studies	
Tarrant County Junior College District								
Northeast Campus	x	x						
Northwest Campus	x	x						
South Campus	x	x						
Temple Junior College	x		x					
Texarkana College	x		x	x		x		
Texas Southmost College	Data Not Available							
Texas State Technical College System								
TSTC-Amarillo	x		x					
TSTC-Harlingen	x		x					
TSTC-Sweetwater								x
TSTC-Waco	x		x	x		x		
Trinity Valley Community College	x		x					
Tyler Junior College	x	x						
Vernon Regional Junior College	x		x					
Victoria College, The	x		x	x		x		
Weatherford College	Data Not Available							
Western Texas College	x		x	x		x		
Wharton County Junior College	x		x					